1		KeySpan system. In particular, the analysis showed the need to procure city-gate
2		capacity that could satisfy the following requirements:
3		<ol> <li>provide increased supply and delivery capacity to Cape Cod beginning in the peak season 2001-02;</li> </ol>
5 6		(2) guarantee a minimum delivery pressure of 270 psig at the Sagamore and Bourne take stations;
7		<ol> <li>provide a cost-effective solution to the need for G Lateral upgrades;</li> </ol>
9		(4) meet the peak-day capacity needs of the Boston Gas service area beginning in 2003-04;
10 11	Q.	Given these requirements, what specific issues needed to be considered in identifying city-gate capacity alternatives?
12	A.	Given these requirements, the Company's procurement alternatives were substantially
13		limited. First, the Company faces a critical need to procure city-gate capacity that
14		will provide increased primary firm deliverability to the Bourne and Sagamore take
15		stations in the Cape Cod service area, which are fed solely by the Algonquin
16		G Lateral pipeline facilities. However, additional deliverability to Cape Cod cannot
17		be achieved without significant upgrades and reinforcement to Algonquin's existing
18		G Lateral. In addition, in order to provide adequate supply to Cape Cod over the long
19		term, KeySpan requires guaranteed minimum delivery pressures of 270 psig at the
20		Bourne and Sagamore take stations.
21		Second, in addition to the unique resource issues associated with the Cape Cod
22		distribution system, the Company recognized that it was facing constraints with respect
23		to maximum hourly flow restrictions on the Algonquin pipeline system serving the

Boston Gas service area. During the past several winter seasons, Algonquin has imposed operational flow restrictions on an hourly basis on the Company as a result of pipeline-capacity constraints on the Algonquin system, which has the effect of substantially reducing the operating flexibility that the Company requires to deliver supplies across the KeySpan system. To preserve the level of flexibility needed within the portfolio to meet customer requirements, the Company determined that it would need to enhance its hourly take capabilities through the addition of pipeline-capacity rights.

## 9 Q. Did the Company evaluate the increased use of LNG to meet the identified need in the Cape Cod service area rather than committing to a pipeline alternative?

A.

Yes. As discussed in the testimony of Mr. Poe, the Company performed an analysis of its incremental peak-day and peak-season needs in order to determine whether the supply and reliability needs of the Cape Cod system could be met with additional purchases of LNG (whether vaporized through the existing South Yarmouth LNG facility or with the addition of the small satellite facility on the eastern end of Cape Cod). This analysis takes into account the fact that, to meet customer requirements on the peak day, the Company must have: (1) sufficient transportation capacity to ensure deliveries to the Company's city gates; and (2) access to sufficient gas supply to meet customer demands. Since LNG vapor is delivered to the Company's gate stations via the pipeline system, the Company would be subject to the same capacity constraints in transporting LNG vapor to its interconnections with the Algonquin